



2016 AUG 1-2 PH 12: 45

## FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

Washington, DC 20463

SENSITIVE

## **MEMORANDUM**

TO:

The Commission

FROM:

Daniel A. Petalas

**Acting General Counsel** 

BY:

Stephen A. Gura

Deputy Associate General Counsel for Enforcement

Peter G. Blumberg

Assistant General Counsel

Kimber HAHari

SUBJECT:

MUR 6973 (Kihuen) - Factual and Legal Analysis

At the July 12, 2016, Executive Session, the Commission voted to dismiss this matter in an exercise of prosecutorial discretion under *Heckler v. Chaney*, 470 U.S. 821 (1985). At that time, the Commission also voted to direct the Office of General Counsel to draft a brief Factual and Legal Analysis that dismisses this matter in accordance with the discussion at the Table in the style of an Enforcement Priority System dismissal. We are circulating the revised Factual and Legal Analysis for approval on tally. We also recommend the Commission close the file.

## **RECOMMENDATIONS**

- 1. Approve the attached Factual and Legal Analysis.
- 2. Close the file in this matter as to all respondents.

Attachment

Factual and Legal Analysis

1 2	FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS		
3		MUR 6973	
5	RESPONDENTS:	Ruben Kihuen	
6 7 8 9		Ruben Kihuen for Congress and Jay Petterson in his official capacity as Treasurer	
10		Tacos and Beer LLC	
12 13 14		Alien Tequila Spirits Company, LLC	
15 16	·	Latin Chamber of Commerce	
17 18		Embassy Nightclub	
19 20		Ramirez Group, Inc.	
21 22		ActBlue	
23 24		Daniel Chavez	
25 26	I. INTRODUCTION		
27	The Complaint in this matter alleges that	Ruben Kihuen and his principal campaign	
28	committee, Ruben Kihuen for Congress and Jay Petterson in his official capacity as treasurer (the		
29	"Committee") (collectively, "the Respondents"), violated multiple provisions of the Federal		
30	Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended ("th	ne Act"). Among other things, the Complaint's	
31	allegations against Respondents include:		
32 33 34 35	<ul> <li>the making and receipt of unreported prohibited in-kind contributions in the form of free event space, food, beverages, and invitations from Tacos and Beer LLC in connection with an April 25, 2015, Committee fundraiser;</li> </ul>		
36 37 38 39 40	event space, food, beverages, invitations	the making and receipt of unreported prohibited in-kind contributions in the form of free event space, food, beverages, invitations and sponsorship by Embassy Nightclub, Latin Chamber of Commerce and Alien Tequila Sprits Company, LLC in connection with a June 29, 2015, Committee fundraiser;	

MUR 6973 (Kihuen) Factual & Legal Analysis Page 2 of 3

ı

2

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- the failure to report certain campaign expenditures, including salary payments to staff, Kihuen's travel costs, and office rent owed to Ramirez Group, Inc. ("Ramirez Group"), Kihuen's employer;
  - the inclusion of an incorrect disclaimer on Kihuen's website solicitation page, which was hosted on Respondent ActBlue's website; and
  - an impermissible transfer from Kihuen's state committee to his federal committee.

The Commission received responses from Kihuen and the Committee ("Committee Response"), Alien Tequila, ActBlue, and Daniel Chavez, which generally deny the making or receipt of prohibited in-kind contributions. The Committee acknowledged that it amended disclosure reports to reflect the receipt of certain *de minimis* in-kind contributions and expenditures. In addition, the Respondents deny that they failed to timely report other campaign expenses, violated the disclaimer provisions, or made or received an impermissible transfer. Tacos and Beer, the Embassy Nightclub, the Ramirez Group, and the Latin Chamber of Commerce did not submit responses.

## II. FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS

The Act prohibits corporations from making contributions to a federal political committee other than independent-expenditure-only political committees, and further prohibits any officer of a corporation from consenting to any such contribution by the corporation. The Act further prohibits a candidate or political committee from accepting or receiving any contribution prohibited by section 30118(a). A treasurer of a principal campaign committee of a candidate for the House of Representatives is required to file, in an non-election year, quarterly

<sup>52</sup> U.S.C. § 30118(a); 11 C.F.R. § 114.2(a), (e).

<sup>2</sup> Id.; see also 11 C.F.R. § 114.2(d).

MUR 6973 (Kihuen) Factual & Legal Analysis Page 3 of 3

- reports of receipts, including contributions, and disbursements.<sup>3</sup> In-kind contributions shall be
- reported as a receipt in accordance with section 104.3(a) and as an expenditure in accordance
- with section 104.3(b). A debt or obligation, including a loan, written contract, written promise
- 4 or written agreement to make an expenditure, the amount of which is over \$500 shall be reported
- s as of the date on which the debt or obligation was incurred, except that any obligation incurred
- for rent, salary, or other regularly recurring administrative expenses shall not be reported as a
- 7 debt before the payment due date.<sup>5</sup> The Commission's regulations require disclaimers for all
- 8 internet websites of political committees available to the general public as specified by section
- 9 110.11(c). A federal candidate shall not solicit, receive, direct, transfer or spend funds in
- connection with an election for federal office, including federal election activity, unless the funds
- are subject to the limitations, prohibitions, and reporting requirements of the Act.<sup>7</sup>
- In light of the de minimis nature of the potential violations, the Commission exercises its
- prosecutorial discretion, pursuant to Heckler v. Chaney, 470 U.S. 821 (1985), and dismisses the
- allegations that Ruben Kihuen, Kihuen for Congress and Jay Petterson in his official capacity as
- treasurer, Tacos and Beer, LLC, Embassy Nightclub, Alien Tequila, the Latin Chamber of
- 16 Commerce, Ramirez Group, Inc, ActBlue and Daniel Chavez violated the Act.8

 $Id. \S 30104(a)(2)(B), (b); Id. \S\S 104.3(a)(2), (b).$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> *Id.* § 104.13(b).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> *Id.* § 104.11(b).

<sup>6 11</sup> C.F.R. § 110.11(a), (c).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> 52 U.S.C. § 30125(e)(1)(A).

<sup>8</sup> Heckler v. Chaney, 470 U.S. 821 (1985).